

Message

From: Hogan, Karen [Hogan.Karen@epa.gov]
Sent: 6/5/2014 1:39:38 PM
To: Strong, Jamie [Strong.Jamie@epa.gov]; Newhouse, Kathleen [Newhouse.Kathleen@epa.gov]
CC: Gehlhaus, Martin [Gehlhaus.Martin@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Revised BaP draft, supp info, response to comments

Sounds good. I'm finishing up some V2O5 revisions that I understood Sam needs before BaP.

From: Strong, Jamie
Sent: Thursday, June 05, 2014 9:33 AM
To: Hogan, Karen; Newhouse, Kathleen
Cc: Gehlhaus, Martin
Subject: RE: Revised BaP draft, supp info, response to comments

Im going to look at this tomorrow and we need to send to Vince and Sam for review tomorrow.

From: Hogan, Karen
Sent: Thursday, June 05, 2014 9:12 AM
To: Strong, Jamie; Newhouse, Kathleen
Cc: Gehlhaus, Martin
Subject: RE: Revised BaP draft, supp info, response to comments

All,

And sorry for my confusion. I didn't remember the distinction Kathleen was making, and did make universal changes a few weeks ago. Now that I think about it, it does seem more transparent to keep the individual data separate and not treat it as an appendix to the EPA report like I was. I will undo the changes I made, suggest some clarification (unless someone beats me to it) and finish reviewing the sentence Kathleen asked about.

Is by the end of today OK, or is it needed sooner?

Thanks,
Karen

From: Strong, Jamie
Sent: Thursday, June 05, 2014 7:27 AM
To: Newhouse, Kathleen
Cc: Gehlhaus, Martin; Hogan, Karen
Subject: RE: Revised BaP draft, supp info, response to comments

Kathleen,

You may want to talk to Karen about Clement vs EPA...I believe she made some changes to universally cite EPA. If they are different, that doesn't come through in the text or response so we should clarify.

Thanks,
Jamie

From: Newhouse, Kathleen
Sent: Wednesday, June 04, 2014 6:11 PM
To: Strong, Jamie
Cc: Gehlhaus, Martin; Hogan, Karen
Subject: RE: Revised BaP draft, supp info, response to comments

Jamie, here are my edits to these three BaP documents. Hopefully they have increased the clarity. I have one sentence from the tox review I am checking with Karen on. Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Something in the doc crashes my computer when I try to update the HERO links, so I think this needs to be fixed by Vicki/Connie. Maybe we can do it after Sam's review as long as she is able to look at it without crashing her computer (I think it may have to do with the LitCiter add in).

-K

From: Hogan, Karen
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 3:14 PM
To: Strong, Jamie; Newhouse, Kathleen
Cc: Gehlhaus, Martin
Subject: RE: Revised BaP draft, supp info, response to comments

Here are some tweaks to the ToxReview and responses to public comments, and responses to some comment bubbles. I didn't change anything in the Supplemental Materials (so it's not attached), because the only comment I saw was how to refer to the 1990 Clement Associates document. I strongly think that since a formal EPA version exists, and Clement was EPA's contractor, the correct cite should be USEPA (1990).

ToxReview:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Enjoy,
Karen

From: Strong, Jamie
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 10:32 AM
To: Newhouse, Kathleen
Cc: Gehlhaus, Martin; Hogan, Karen
Subject: RE: Revised BaP draft, supp info, response to comments
Importance: High

Kathleen,
Martin and I have both gone through the TR (I went through the Supplemental Info also). I have tried to delete old comments. I've added a few new ones or responded to old outstanding ones. It's really close. Minimal text changes were also incorporated. Please take a look at both of these documents along with the summary and response I sent the other day (the 27th I think). We need to turn this around next week and get it to Samantha and Vince by Friday June 6 for review. Let me know if you have any questions or want to talk. Karen, there may be a few comments in the TR

related to text you revised. After we finalize the text, we need to clean up the document (spell check, TOC update, HERO links, maybe send to Vicki to fix the issues with it freezing or crashing—I had trouble)

Thanks!!

Jamie

From: Newhouse, Kathleen

Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2014 6:39 PM

To: Strong, Jamie

Cc: Gehlhaus, Martin

Subject: Revised BaP draft, supp info, response to comments

Jamie,

Please see the attached redline revised BaP Tox Review, Supplemental Info, and Response to Comments. I have incorporated changes from the team members and tried to address your comments or note why I have not. I did not get around to making changes in the preamble (they look very minor), but I did do a compare with the latest preamble on the intranet which I will attach. (Vince added lots of subsections to the HERO references which need to be added correctly to avoid breaking the links and this can be time consuming.)

The Tox Review has some sort of issue involving freezing up or crashing when I try to format the HERO links, but we can get that fixed by Vicki et al after we are done making Samantha revisions.

I mentioned earlier that I've tried to get Paul White to work on the toxicokinetic differences between mouse skin and human skin, but I have not received a work product from him. I will let him know to send any products in the next week to you and Marty.

Happy reviewing.

Kathleen